

<p>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</p>	<table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <td style="width: 50%; padding: 2px;">Application No. 10/554,223</td> <td style="width: 50%; padding: 2px;">Applicant(s) MCVEY ET AL</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="padding: 2px;">Examiner DANIEL BERNIS</td> <td style="padding: 2px;">Art Unit 1793</td> </tr> </table>	Application No. 10/554,223	Applicant(s) MCVEY ET AL	Examiner DANIEL BERNIS	Art Unit 1793
Application No. 10/554,223	Applicant(s) MCVEY ET AL				
Examiner DANIEL BERNIS	Art Unit 1793				

All Participants:

(1) DANIEL BERNIS

(2) Mr. Thomas E. Kocovsky, Esq. (appn'ts atty.)

Date of Interview: 6 August 2009

Type of Interview:
☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

Status of Application: NOA pending

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: 4:30 PM

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
 N/A

Claims discussed:
 81-90

Prior art documents discussed:
 N/A

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
See Part III, below, and the attached Notice of Allowability.

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/DANIEL BERNIS/
 Examiner, Art Unit 1793

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)